

# Estimation of multinomial logit model using the Begg & Gray approximation

Hana Ševčíková and Adrian Raftery

November 16, 2024

## 1 MNL Model Specification

Our observations correspond to  $N$  individuals each of whom makes one choice out of  $J$  alternatives. The dependent variable,  $Y_n$ , is the choice made by the  $n$ -th individual. The set of independent variables is divided into a set of variables that are individual-specific, say  $X_n = (x_{n1}, \dots, x_{nK_o})^T$ , and a set of variables that are alternative-specific, say  $W_{ni} = (w_{ni1}, \dots, w_{niK_a})^T$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, J$ . The probability of individual  $n$  choosing alternative  $i$  is given by the standard multinomial logit formula

$$P_{ni} = \frac{e^{V_{ni}}}{\sum_{j=1}^J e^{V_{nj}}} \quad \text{where } V_{ni} = \alpha_{i0} + \alpha_i X_n + \beta_i W_{ni} \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i1}, \dots, \alpha_{iK_o})$  and  $\beta_i = (\beta_{i1}, \dots, \beta_{iK_a})$ . We call  $\alpha_{10}, \dots, \alpha_{J0}$  the alternative-specific constants.

It is often of interest to constrain the coefficients to be the same over the given set of alternatives, i.e.  $\alpha_{1k} = \alpha_{2k} \dots = \alpha_{Jk}$  for a given  $k$ . The same applies to the  $\beta$  coefficients.

### Base Alternative

In order to be able to use the Begg & Gray approximation [1], we need to set a base alternative and treat the remaining alternatives as differences to the base. Thus, if the base alternative is 1,  $V_{n1} = 0$  for all  $n$ . Furthermore,

$$V_{ni} = \alpha_{i0} + \alpha_i X_n + \beta_i W'_{ni} \quad \text{where } W'_{ni} = W_{ni} - W_{n1} \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, J \quad (2)$$

## 2 Conversion

The conversion is done analogously to [2]. We decompose the original dataset into  $D_0 = \{D_b, D_r\}$ , where  $D_b$  denotes the set of individuals that chose the base alternative, and  $D_r$  denotes the set of the remaining individuals. For each

$i = 1, \dots, J$ , set  $N_i$  to be the number of individuals that chose alternative  $i$ . Then the converted dataset is constructed as follows:

1. Form  $J$  matrices  $M_1, \dots, M_J$  where each  $M_i$  has  $N_i$  rows and the columns consist of  $Y$ ,  $X$  and  $U = W'_{\cdot i}$ .
2. Form  $J-1$  blocks,  $D_2, \dots, D_J$ , where  $D_i$  has  $(N_1 + N_i)$  rows and is formed as follows:
  - (a) Take the rows of  $M_1$  and  $M_i$ .
  - (b) Add columns:
    - $Y^* = \begin{cases} 0 & : Y = 1 \\ 1 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
    - $\{Z_2, \dots, Z_J\}$ , where  $Z_j = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1} & : j = i \\ \mathbf{0} & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
    - $\{Z_2 X, \dots, Z_J X\}$
    - $\{Z_2 U, \dots, Z_J U\}$
3. Combine the rows of  $D_2, \dots, D_J$ .

The approximated binary logistic model is given by

$$\logit(P[Y^* = 1]) = \gamma_2 + \sum_{l=3}^J \gamma_l Z_l + \sum_{k=1}^{K_o} Q(\delta_{(\cdot)k}, X_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{K_a} Q(\theta_{(\cdot)k}, U_k) \quad (3)$$

where

$$Q(\tau_{(\cdot)k}, S) = \begin{cases} \tau_k S & : \text{if the coefficients of } S \text{ are constrained to be} \\ & \text{the same for all alternatives, i.e. } \tau_{(\cdot)k} = \tau_k \\ \sum_{l=2}^J \tau_{lk} Z_l S & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Given estimated coefficients  $\hat{\gamma}$ ,  $\hat{\delta}$  and  $\hat{\theta}$ , estimators of the coefficients of the original model in Equation (2) are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_{10} &= 0, \quad \hat{\alpha}_{20} = \hat{\gamma}_2, \quad \hat{\alpha}_{i0} = \hat{\gamma}_i + \hat{\gamma}_2, \quad \text{for } i = 3, \dots, J \\ \hat{\alpha}_{ik} &= \hat{\delta}_{ik}, \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, J, \quad k = 1, \dots, K_o \\ \hat{\beta}_{ik} &= \hat{\theta}_{ik}, \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, J, \quad k = 1, \dots, K_a \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Example

Consider the following toy dataset with eight individuals, four alternatives and two independent variables,  $X$  and  $W$ :

id	$Y$	$X$	$W_1$	$W_2$	$W_3$	$W_4$
1	1	$x_1$	$w_{11}$	$w_{12}$	$w_{13}$	$w_{14}$
2	1	$x_2$	$w_{21}$	$w_{22}$	$w_{23}$	$w_{24}$
3	2	$x_3$	$w_{31}$	$w_{32}$	$w_{33}$	$w_{34}$
4	2	$x_4$	$w_{41}$	$w_{42}$	$w_{43}$	$w_{44}$
5	3	$x_5$	$w_{51}$	$w_{52}$	$w_{53}$	$w_{54}$
6	3	$x_6$	$w_{61}$	$w_{62}$	$w_{63}$	$w_{64}$
7	4	$x_7$	$w_{71}$	$w_{72}$	$w_{73}$	$w_{74}$
8	4	$x_8$	$w_{81}$	$w_{82}$	$w_{83}$	$w_{84}$

Setting the base alternative to 1, the converted dataset is of the form:

	$Y$	$X$	$U$	$Y^*$	$Z_2$	$Z_3$	$Z_4$	$Z_2X$	$Z_3X$	$Z_4X$	$Z_2U$	$Z_3U$	$Z_4U$
$D_2$	1	$x_1$	$w_{12} - w_{11}$	0	1	0	0	$x_1$	0	0	$w_{12} - w_{11}$	0	0
	1	$x_2$	$w_{22} - w_{21}$	0	1	0	0	$x_2$	0	0	$w_{22} - w_{21}$	0	0
	2	$x_3$	$w_{32} - w_{31}$	1	1	0	0	$x_3$	0	0	$w_{32} - w_{31}$	0	0
	2	$x_4$	$w_{42} - w_{41}$	1	1	0	0	$x_4$	0	0	$w_{42} - w_{41}$	0	0
$D_3$	1	$x_1$	$w_{13} - w_{11}$	0	0	1	0	0	$x_1$	0	0	$w_{13} - w_{11}$	0
	1	$x_2$	$w_{23} - w_{21}$	0	0	1	0	0	$x_2$	0	0	$w_{23} - w_{21}$	0
	3	$x_5$	$w_{53} - w_{51}$	1	0	1	0	0	$x_5$	0	0	$w_{53} - w_{51}$	0
	3	$x_6$	$w_{63} - w_{61}$	1	0	1	0	0	$x_6$	0	0	$w_{63} - w_{61}$	0
$D_4$	1	$x_1$	$w_{14} - w_{11}$	0	0	0	1	0	0	$x_1$	0	0	$w_{14} - w_{11}$
	1	$x_2$	$w_{24} - w_{21}$	0	0	0	1	0	0	$x_2$	0	0	$w_{24} - w_{21}$
	4	$x_7$	$w_{74} - w_{71}$	1	0	0	1	0	0	$x_7$	0	0	$w_{74} - w_{71}$
	4	$x_8$	$w_{84} - w_{81}$	1	0	0	1	0	0	$x_8$	0	0	$w_{84} - w_{81}$

An MNL model, specified in `mlogitBMA` by  $Y \sim 1 \mid X + U$ , is approximated using the logit model

$$Y^* \sim Z_3 + Z_4 + Z_2X + Z_3X + Z_4X + Z_2U + Z_3U + Z_4U$$

The MNL coefficients from Equation (2) correspond to:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_{20}, \alpha_2, \beta_2) &= (\text{Intercept}, Z_2X \text{coef.}, Z_2U \text{coef.}) \\ (\alpha_{30}, \alpha_3, \beta_3) &= (\text{Intercept} + Z_3 \text{coef.}, Z_3X \text{coef.}, Z_3U \text{coef.}) \\ (\alpha_{40}, \alpha_4, \beta_4) &= (\text{Intercept} + Z_4 \text{coef.}, Z_4X \text{coef.}, Z_4U \text{coef.}) \end{aligned}$$

If we constrain the coefficients to be the same for all alternatives, i.e.  $\alpha = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4$  and  $\beta = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4$ , which is specified in `mlogitBMA` by  $Y \sim X + U$ , the logit model

$$Y^* \sim Z_3 + Z_4 + X + U$$

is used as an approximation. In this case,  $\alpha$  corresponds to the coefficient of  $X$  and  $\beta$  corresponds to the coefficient of  $U$ .

## References

- [1] Begg, C.B., Gray, R. (1984) Calculation of polychotomous logistic regression parameters using individualized regressions. *Biometrika* **71**, 11–18.
- [2] Yeung, K.Y., Bumgarner, R.E., Raftery, A.E. (2005) Bayesian model averaging: development of an improved multi-class, gene selection and classification tool for microarray data. *Bioinformatics* **21** (10), 2394–2402.